SOUND REFLECTION AND TRANSMISSION OF COMPLIANT PLATE-LIKE STRUCTURES BY A PLANE SOUND WAVE EXCITATION
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The sound transmission and reflection by an infinite compliant plate-like structure immersed in fluids are analyzed using an exact method. A matrix formulation for the submerged plate with a stack of arbitrary number of anisotropic or isotropic layers, and subject to a plane sound wave excitation, is derived to obtain the transmission and reflection coefficients in the frequency domain. The coupling between the fluid and plate is taken into account in a rigorous manner. Several application examples are used to evaluate the effects of the thickness of the coating layer and base plate, the mutual position of layers, and the damping loss factors on the sound transmission and reflection. Results show that the coating layer attached to a steel base plate can effectively decrease the sound reflection by an average amount of about 6 dB above a frequency of 10 kHz when the coating layer of thickness 100 mm is on the incidence side.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A compliant plate-like structure usually consists of elastic coating layers attached to a base plate, which may be of isotropy or anisotropy. The theoretical study of Koval [1], which provided the first model for noise transmission loss of composite constructions, was for an infinite monocoque cylindrical shell. In the analysis, Koval’s mathematical model was based on the shell modal impedance. Roussos et al. [2] gave a report about the theoretical and experimental study of noise transmission through composite plates in the NASA Langley Research Centre on Ramakrishnan et al. [3] provided a theoretical model of finite element treatment of noise transmission through a stiffness panel into a closed cavity. They adopted the differential equation for mid-plane symmetrical laminated composite panels. Nayfeh et al. [4] reported a theoretical analysis based on an exact two-dimensional wave mechanics calculation of the amplitude of the reflected and transmitted partial waves in a liquid-coupled, arbitrarily in-plane oriented orthotropic plate. Arikan et al. [5] calculated the reflection coefficient of acoustic waves incident on a liquid–solid interface from the liquid side for a general anisotropic solid oriented direction. Liu et al. [6] investigated the interaction between the laminate and the...
water in a one-dimensional model and the effects of the laminate–water interaction on the wave fields in the laminate. Furthermore, Liu presented an exact matrix formulation for analyzing the response of anisotropic laminated plates subjected to line loads in a two-dimensional model [7].

Nayfeh [8] included a simple introduction of several salient contributions on interaction of waves and composite structures, such as “effective modulus techniques”, “effective stiffness techniques” and “mixture techniques”. As one of the effective techniques for the interaction of sound waves with the planar layered media, “matrix transfer technique” was applied [9–12]. The transfer matrix is constructed for a stack of arbitrary number of layers by extending the solution from one layer to the next while satisfying the appropriate interfacial continuity conditions. Based on the existing methods for analyzing the elastodynamic response of a plate, Liu et al. [7] grouped these methods into three categories: methods based on classic plate theories, numerical methods [13–20] and exact methods. In the exact methods, the equations of motion subjected to the boundary conditions are solved without any assumption. One distinguished advantage of the exact methods is the feasibility for computing responses at high frequencies.

The purpose of the current work is to analyze sound transmission and reflection of compliant structures immersed in fluids using an exact method. A plane acoustic wave is incident from the fluid on to the structure. The effects of the thickness of the coating layer and base plate, the mutual position of layers, and the damping loss factor, etc. on the acoustic response are evaluated. Results show that the outer coating layers have less sound reflection. A 100 mm thick elastomer layer facing the incident sound source can effectively decrease the sound reflection by an average amount of about 6 dB above a frequency of 10 kHz. There exists an optimal thickness of the coating layer with respect to a given base plate for minimum sound reflection in a specific frequency range.

2. FORMULATION

An infinite compliant plate-like structure made of $N$ layers of arbitrary anisotropic materials separates the upper fluid from the lower fluid. To maintain generality, upper and lower fluids may be different as in Figure 1. $h_n$ is the thickness of the $n$th layer. The origin of the local co-ordinate system is located on the bottom of each layer. $\theta$ and $\varphi$ are the sound incident and azimuthal angles respectively (a list of nomenclature is given in Appendix A). If the azimuthal angle $\varphi$ is zero, the incident sound wave insonifies the plate only in the $x$-$z$ plane. All the derivations below are based on the assumption of a zero azimuthal angle. The formulation can be extended to the sound incidence with a non-zero azimuthal angle through an appropriate co-ordinate transformation as described in the last paragraph of section 2.4.

The incident plane sound wave can be expressed as

$$p^{in} = p^{in} \exp(i(k_{\infty}x - k_{\infty}z - \omega t)),$$ (1)
where $p^{in}$ is the amplitude of the incident sound wave, $k_{xw_2} = |k_{w_2}| \sin \theta$ and $k_{zw_2} = |k_{w_2}| \cos \theta$, the two components of $k_{w_2}$ that are wave number vectors in the upper fluid. $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

2.1. BASIC EQUATIONS FOR A LAYER IN THE PLATE

In order to simplify the formulation procedure, the following assumptions are introduced: (1) each layer lies in the $x$-$y$ plane, (2) the interface between adjacent layers are perfectly bonded and (3) each layer has monoclinic properties if it is of anisotropy.

Within a layer, the motion is governed by the wave equation. In the case of absence of body force, the governing differential equation is expressed for the layer of the plate as [7]

$$
\rho \ddot{U} - L^T \varepsilon L U = 0,
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density of the material of the layer, and $U^T = \{u \ v \ w\}$ in which $u$, $v$ and $w$ are the displacement components in $x$, $y$ and $z$ directions respectively. In equation (2), the dot indicates differentiation with respect to time, and the superscript “$T$” the transposition. The differential operator matrix $L$ for the two-dimensional problem may be written as

$$
L^T = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

The strain tensor $\varepsilon^T = \{\varepsilon_{xx} \ \varepsilon_{yy} \ \varepsilon_{zz} \ \gamma_{yz} \ \gamma_{xz} \ \gamma_{xy}\}$ is obtained from

$$
\varepsilon = LU
$$
and the stress tensor $\sigma^T = \{\sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{yy}, \sigma_{zz}, \tau_{yz}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{xy}\}$ is

$$\sigma = c e,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $e = (c_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, 6$ defines the matrix of 36 elastic constants for general anisotropic materials. It is known that only 21 constants exist for the most general anisotropic cases \cite{21}. The effect of damping in materials is simulated by complex elastic modulus, namely, $E_{ii} = E_{ii}(1 - i \eta_e)$ and $G_{ij} = G_{ij}(1 - i \eta_g)$, where $\eta_e$ and $\eta_g$ are the longitudinal and shear loss factors. $E_{ii}$ and $G_{ij}$ are Young’s and shear moduli without consideration of damping effect respectively.

The operator matrix $L$ in equation (2) can be rewritten as

$$L = L_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + L_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $L_x$ and $L_z$ can be obtained by inspection.

By using this definition of $L$, the operator product $L^T e L$ in equation (2) becomes

$$L^T e L = D_{xx} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2D_{xz} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} + D_{zz} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

in which

$$D_{xx} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{16} & c_{15} \\ c_{66} & c_{56} & (sym) c_{55} \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8a)

$$D_{xz} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2c_{15} & c_{14} + c_{56} & c_{13} + c_{55} \\ (sym) c_{46} & c_{45} + c_{36} & 2c_{35} \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8b)

$$D_{zz} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{55} & c_{45} & c_{35} \\ (sym) c_{44} & c_{34} & c_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8c)

The stresses on a plane ($z = \text{constant}$) can be written as

$$R = \{\tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}, \sigma_{zz}\}^T = L_z^T e L U.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

2.2. WAVE FIELD IN THE PLATE

Consider a harmonic wave field in the layer. The solution for equation (2) can be written in the form of

$$U = \bar{U} e^{i(k_x x + k_z z - \omega t)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\bar{U}$ is the amplitude of the displacement, and $k_x$ and $k_z$ are the wave numbers in the layer with respect to directions $x$ and $z$ respectively. The substitution of equation (10) into equation (2) leads to the following equation:

$$[(\rho \omega^2 I - k_x^2 D_{xx}) - k_z (2k_x D_{xz}) - k_z^2 D_{zz}] \bar{U} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
where $I$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix. Equation (11) can be changed to be the following standard eigenvalue equation in terms of $k_z$ [22]:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & I \\
D_{zz}^{-1}(\rho\omega^2I - k_x^2D_{xx}) & -2k_xD_{zz}^{-1}D_{xz}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
U \\
k_z U
\end{bmatrix}
- k_z
\begin{bmatrix}
I & 0 \\
k_z & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
U \\
k_z U
\end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{12}
$$

Equation (12) can be solved for given $k_x$ and $\omega$, and the six eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors are obtained. Generally, the six eigenvalues and their eigenvectors are complex valued. Using the eigenvalues $\zeta_j$ and their eigenvectors $d_j$, the displacement can be expressed as

$$
U = U_ze^{i(k_xx - \omega t)}, \tag{13}
$$

where $U_z$ is only a function of co-ordinate $z$:

$$
U_z = \sum_{j=1}^{3} C_j^+ d_j^+ e^{i\zeta_j z} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} C_j^- d_j^- e^{i\zeta_j (z-h)}, \tag{14}
$$

where $C_j^+$ and $C_j^-$ are constants to be determined from the boundary conditions on the lower and upper boundaries of the layer, and $h$ is the thickness of the layer under consideration. The superscript “+” denotes waves propagating in the positive direction $z$ (upward). The superscript “−” denotes wave propagating in the negative direction $z$ (downward).

Equation (14) can be written in the matrix form

$$
U_z = V^+ E^+(z) C^+ + V^- E^-(z) C^- = \{V^+ E^+ \ V^- E^-\} \begin{bmatrix} C^+ \\ C^- \end{bmatrix}, \tag{15}
$$

where

$$
C^+ = \begin{bmatrix} C_1^+ & C_2^+ & C_3^+ \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad C^- = \begin{bmatrix} C_1^- & C_2^- & C_3^- \end{bmatrix}^T,
$$

$$
V^+ = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^+ & d_2^+ & d_3^+ \end{bmatrix}, \quad V^- = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^- & d_2^- & d_3^- \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16, 17}
$$

$$
E^+(z) = \text{Diag} \{e^{i\zeta_1 z} \ e^{i\zeta_2 z} \ e^{i\zeta_3 z}\}, \quad E^-(z) = \text{Diag} \{e^{i\zeta_1 (z-h)} \ e^{i\zeta_2 (z-h)} \ e^{i\zeta_3 (z-h)}\}. \tag{18}
$$

Using equations (9), (13) and (6), we obtain

$$
R = R_z e^{i(k_xx - \omega t)}, \tag{19}
$$

where

$$
R_z = ik_x D_z U_z + D_{zz} \frac{\partial U_z}{\partial z}, \quad D_z = \begin{bmatrix} c_{51} & c_{56} & c_{55} \\
c_{41} & c_{46} & c_{45} \\
c_{31} & c_{36} & c_{35} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{20, 21}
$$

Substituting equation (15) into equation (20), we can obtain

$$
R_z = \{P^+ E^+ \ P^- E^-\} \begin{bmatrix} C^+ \\ C^- \end{bmatrix}, \tag{22}
$$

where

$$
P^+ = ik_x D_z V^+ + D_{zz} V^+_\zeta, \quad P^- = ik_x D_z V^- + D_{zz} V^-_\zeta, \tag{23}
$$

$$
V^+_\zeta = \{i\zeta_1 d_1^+ \ i\zeta_2 d_2^+ \ i\zeta_3 d_3^+ \}, \quad V^-_\zeta = \{i\zeta_1 d_1^- \ i\zeta_2 d_2^- \ i\zeta_3 d_3^- \}. \tag{24}
$$
2.3. WAVE FIELD IN THE FLUID

There exist two kinds of plane sound waves in the upper fluid. One is the incident sound wave and the other is the sound wave reflected or radiated by the plate. The former can be expressed as equation (1) and the latter as

\[ p^{re} = \bar{p}^{re}e^{ik_{z\omega}x + k_{z\omega}z - \omega t}. \]  

The particle velocities caused by the sound waves are in the direction of \( z \)

\[ v^{in} = -\frac{k_{z\omega}}{\omega \rho_{w2}} p^{in}, \quad v^{re} = \frac{k_{z\omega}}{\omega \rho_{w2}} p^{re}. \]  

Considering the boundary conditions in the upper fluid, it is noted that the fluid goes to infinity in the positive \( z \) direction. The radiation condition, which states that waves are up going towards infinity, must therefore be satisfied.

Similarly, there exists only a plane sound wave in the lower fluid, assuming that the fluid goes to infinity in the negative \( z \) direction as well. The transmitted plane wave can be expressed as

\[ p^{tr} = \bar{p}^{tr}e^{ik_{z\omega1}x - k_{z\omega1}z - \omega t}, \quad v^{tr} = -\frac{k_{z\omega1}}{\omega \rho_{w1}} p^{tr}, \]  

where \( k_{z\omega1} \) is the \( z \) direction component of the wave number vector \( k_{w1} \), and \( \rho_{w1} \) the density in the lower fluid.

2.4. INTERACTION BETWEEN THE PLATE AND THE FLUID

Based on Snell’s law, the \( x \) components of the wave vectors of incident, reflected and transmitted longitudinal and shear modes should be the same. The fluids are assumed to be isotropic, non-viscous and have no resistance to shear deformation. Therefore, continuity of velocities and stresses requires \( \omega \) and \( k_x \) to be the same in all layers and in the upper and lower fluids. Three coupling and boundary conditions are

(1) on the interfaces between the layers of plate

\[ R_n^U = R_{n+1}^L, \quad U_n^U = U_{n+1}^L, \quad 1 \leq n \leq (N - 1), \]  

where superscripts “\( U \)” and “\( L \)” stand for the upper and lower surfaces of the layer respectively.

(2) on the interface between the upper fluid and the plate

\[ w_N^U = (v_z^{in} + v_z^{re}), \quad \sigma_{zz,N}^U = -(p^{in} + p^{re}), \quad \tau_{xz,N}^U = 0, \quad \tau_{yz,N}^U = 0, \]  

where \( w_N^U \) is the velocity in the \( z \) direction on the upper surface of layer \( N \).

(3) on the interface between the lower fluid and the plate

\[ \dot{w}_1^L = v_{z}^{tr}, \quad \sigma_{zz,1}^L = -p^{tr}, \quad \tau_{xz,1}^L = 0, \quad \tau_{yz,1}^L = 0, \]  

where \( \dot{w}_1^L \) is the velocity in the \( z \) direction on the lower surface of layer 1.

Satisfaction of equation (29) leads to

\[ \mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{T}, \]
where
\[
\mathbf{T} = \{0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ -2\bar{p}^{(m)}_{2}\}_N^{6N \times 1},
\]
and \(\mathbf{C}\) consists of the constant vectors for all the layers.
\[
\mathbf{C} = \{\mathbf{C}_1^+ \ \mathbf{C}_1^- \ \mathbf{C}_2^+ \ \mathbf{C}_2^- \ \cdots \ \mathbf{C}_N^+ \ \mathbf{C}_N^-\}^{T}_{6N \times 1}.
\]

There will be six dependent equations for each internal interface between the layers based on the continuity conditions. There are only three independent equations for the lowermost or uppermost surface of the plate. Therefore, there are \(6 \times N\) equations for \(N\) layers.

The matrix \(\mathbf{A}\) is given by

\[
\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{Q}_1^+ & \mathbf{Q}_1^- & \mathbf{E}_1^L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\mathbf{V}_1^+ & \mathbf{V}_1^- & \mathbf{E}_1^U & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\mathbf{P}_1^+ & \mathbf{P}_1^- & \mathbf{E}_1^L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{V}_2^+ & \mathbf{V}_2^- & \mathbf{E}_2^U & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{P}_2^+ & \mathbf{P}_2^- & \mathbf{E}_2^L & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{Q}_N^+ & \mathbf{Q}_N^- & \mathbf{E}_N^U & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}_{6N \times 6N}
\]

where
\[
\mathbf{E}_n^U = \text{Diag}\{e^{i\gamma_i h_n} \ e^{i\gamma_j h_n} \ e^{i\gamma_k h_n}\}, \quad \mathbf{E}_n^L = \text{Diag}\{e^{-i\gamma_i h_n} \ e^{-i\gamma_j h_n} \ e^{-i\gamma_k h_n}\}
\]

\((n = 1, 2, \ldots, N), \quad \mathbf{Q}_1^+ = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{1,11}^+ & P_{1,12}^+ & P_{1,13}^+
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_1^- = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{1,11}^- & P_{1,12}^- & P_{1,13}^-
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_N^+ = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{N,11}^+ & P_{N,12}^+ & P_{N,13}^+
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_N^- = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{N,11}^- & P_{N,12}^- & P_{N,13}^-\end{bmatrix}.
\]
in which $P_{n,ij}, P_{n,ij}^-, V_{n,ij}$ and $V_{n,ij}^-$ are the elements of matrices $P_n^+, P_n^-, V_n^+$ and $V_n^-$ for layer $n$ respectively. And

$$r_1 = \rho_{w1} \frac{\omega^2}{k_{zw1}}, \quad r_2 = \rho_{w2} \frac{\omega^2}{k_{zw2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

By solving equation (30), vector $C$ can be obtained. Therefore, the displacements (or velocities) and stresses in each layer can be obtained by equations (13) and (19).

The reflected sound pressure in the upper fluid can be obtained by the normal velocity continuity conditions. The reflection coefficient $\beta_{re}$ is given by

$$\beta_{re} = \frac{\bar{p}_{re}}{\bar{p}_{in}} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega \rho_{w2}}{k_{zw2}} \tilde{w}_N}{\frac{\omega \rho_{w1}}{k_{zw1}} \tilde{w}_N}. \hspace{1cm} (40)$$

The transmitted pressure in the lower fluid can also be obtained by the normal velocity continuity conditions, and the transmission coefficient $\beta_T$ is given by

$$\beta_T = \frac{\bar{p}_{tr}}{\bar{p}_{in}} = \frac{-\frac{\omega \rho_{w1}}{k_{zw1}} \tilde{w}_N}{\frac{\omega \rho_{w1}}{k_{zw1}} \tilde{w}_N}. \hspace{1cm} (41)$$

When the plane wave reflection and transmission coefficients are indicated in $dB$, they are $20 \log_{10}(|\beta_{re}|)$ and $20 \log_{10}(|1/\beta_T|)$ respectively. The latter is also called the transmission loss of the plate.

It is noted that the appropriate co-ordinate transformation is needed if the incident sound wave has an azimuthal angle $\varphi$ with respect to plane $x-z$. The ply orientation of all anisotropic layers should be added to the azimuthal angle $\varphi$. It is also noted that $T$ in equation (31) is only a result of certain mathematical derivation. The effect of the angle of incident pressure on the response of the plate is taken into account both on the left-hand side of equation (30) via the application of Snell’s law and in the amplitude of incident normal particle velocity.

3. APPLICATION EXAMPLES

The formulation above is applied to several application examples in order to analyze sound transmission and reflection of compliant plate-like structures by a plane sound wave excitation. The three configurations are shown in Figure 2 and 3. Unless otherwise specified, the geometrical parameters are listed in Table 1. The results are considered for the frequency range of 0–50 kHz, which is typical of underwater acoustic applications. Default values of angles of incidence are taken as $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $\varphi = 0^\circ$.

First, two-layer plates consisting of a base plate and a coating layer (above and below the base plate respectively) are studied. The effect of geometrical combinations and thickness variations of these two layers on the acoustic performance is investigated. Second, the sandwich plates with two different soft fillers are evaluated. Filler A is of isotropy and filler B is of anisotropy.

Figure 4 shows the sound transmission and reflection of the structure with the thickness variance of coating layer. Parameter $t_c = 0$ in Figures 4–7 means that the coating layer does not exist. The upper fluid is water and the lower is air. The effect
Figure 2. The geometric schematic of two-layer plates: (a) A plate with a coating layer above; (b) A plate with a coating layer below.

Figure 3. The geometric schematic of a sandwich plate.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$E_1$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$E_2$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$G_{12}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$G_{23}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$v_{12}$</th>
<th>$v_{23}$</th>
<th>$\rho$ (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$\eta_e = \eta_g$</th>
<th>$t$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Base plate</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>80.153</td>
<td>80.153</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coating layer</td>
<td>3.3E-1</td>
<td>3.3E-1</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Base plate</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>80.153</td>
<td>80.153</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filler A</td>
<td>3.3E-1</td>
<td>3.3E-1</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filler B</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of impedance mismatch due to air below causes the full sound reflection when no coating layer exists. The external-coating layer attached onto the base plate increases transmission loss and decreases sound reflection coefficient of the structure effectively. The compliant structure will have more than 4 dB reduction of reflection coefficient in the high-frequency range (20 kHz above) when covered with an elastomer layer of 5 mm thickness. With the increase of thickness of the coating layer, the reduction effect of sound reflection coefficient shifts to the lower-frequency range. However, the reduction effect will become worse with an increase in thickness in the high frequency. A 100 mm thick elastomer layer
attached to a base plate will decrease the sound reflection by an average amount of about 6 dB with frequencies of above 10 kHz.

Surface-attached coating layers are susceptible to damage inflicted by contact with surrounding objects. Hence, the case of a coating layer below the base plate is also considered as shown in Figure 5. The reduction of reflection coefficient is about 0 ~ −2 dB, much smaller compared to the previous case. Meanwhile, the transmission loss in the case is also less than one when the coating layer faces the incident sound directly.
Figure 6. Transmission loss and reflection coefficients with various thickness of base plate for coating layer above: \( t_p = 0 \); \( -\bigcirc-\bigcirc- \), \( t_c = 0.005 \text{ m} \); \( -\bigstar-\bigstar- \), \( t_p = 0.01 \text{ m} \); \( -\square-\square- \), \( t_p = 0.02 \text{ m} \); \( -\bigtriangleup-\bigtriangleup- \), \( t_p = 0.04 \text{ m} \); \( -\bigtriangledown-\bigtriangledown- \), \( t_p = 0.1 \text{ m} \). (\( t_c = 0.01 \text{ m} \), water–air).

The effects of thickness change of the base plate on sound transmission and reflection coefficient are shown in Figure 6. Except in two cases, i.e., very thick base plate (\( t_p = 100 \text{ mm} \)) and no base plate at all, varying thickness of the base plate does not affect the reduction of reflection coefficient obviously in most of the frequency range. The transmission loss of compliant structure will benefit from the increase of thickness of the base plate. It can be explained by the mass law.

When the coating layer is attached to the lower surface of base plate, the thickness change of the base plate almost does not affect the reflection coefficient except in the cases of very thick base plate and no base plate. The results are shown in Figure 7. It is noted in Figures 6 and 7 that the transmission loss for \( t_p = 100 \text{ mm} \) decreases when the frequency is increased. This is because the critical frequency of the structure is shifted down to the analysis frequency range 0–50 kHz when the thickness of the base plate reaches 100 mm.

The effect of upper and lower fluid on sound transmission loss and reflection coefficient is shown in Figure 8. When air is on the incidence side, the sound reflection coefficient is the same regardless of what kind of fluid exists on the other side of the base plate. The impedance mismatch of air and compliant structure determines the almost full reflection characteristic. It corresponds to the case in which the incident sound impinges on a “hard” boundary. However, the transmission loss for air to water differs obviously from the one for air to air. The strong coupling between the compliant structure and the lower fluid reduces the transmission loss of the compliant structure.

When water is on the incidence side, the reflection coefficients tend to be the same in the high frequencies but differ in the low-frequency range. The water to water case has a big reduction of reflection coefficient in the low frequencies.

The results in Figure 8 also show that the coating layer attached on to a base plate does not function in the reduction of sound reflection in the case where air is
Figure 7. Transmission loss and reflection coefficients with various thickness of base plate for coating layer below: —- , $t_p = 0$; -○-○-, $t_p = 0.005$ m; -☆-☆-, $t_p = 0.01$ m; -□-□-, $t_p = 0.02$ m; -◇-◇-, $t_p = 0.04$ m; -☆-☆-, $t_p = 0.1$ m. ($t_c = 0.01$ m, water-air).

Figure 8. Transmission loss and reflection coefficients with different mediums for coating layer above. -○-○-, Air-air; -□-□-, Water-water; -☆-☆-, Air-water; -◇-◇-, Water-air. ($t_c = t_p = 0.01$ m).

on the incidence side. It works only in the case where water is on the incidence side: water to air.

It is worth noting that the coating cover comes into effect only when it has a non-zero loss factor even in the water-to-air case. The loss factor for the coating layer plays an important role in reducing sound reflection and sound transmission as shown in Figure 9. A maximum loss factor may not result in a maximum reduction of sound reflection in a whole frequency range. It means that there exists
an optimum loss factor for a given structure. The “peaks” in the transmission loss curves in Figure 9 correspond to the shear waveguide behaviour in the coating cover. The increase in the coating damping loss will result in the reduction of the amplitudes of these “peaks”.

Figure 10 introduces a sandwich plate, which divides the previous base plate into two surface plates, by sandwiching the coating layer as filler. The sandwich plate can protect the coating layer from damage more efficiently. Compared to Figure 5,
Figure 11. Transmission loss and reflection coefficients with different azimuthal angles for the sandwich plate (filler B): $\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ$, 0°; $\square\square\square\square\square$, 45°; $\star\star\star\star\star$, 90°; incident angle = 50° ($t_c = 0.01$ m, $t_r = 0.005$ m, water–air).

the sandwich plate has a bigger reduction of sound reflection. Figure 10 also indicates that a big loss factor of the filler may not result in a big reduction of sound reflection in a whole frequency range. The damping effect can smooth the transmission curve obviously. That means that the damping of the filler may affect the transmission loss in the coincidence region where the acoustic wavenumber in the upper fluid is equal to either the shear or longitudinal resonant wavenumber of the elastomer filler.

As we know, the azimuthal angle of incidence $\varphi$ has no effect on the sound transmission and reflection for structures with isotropic properties. However, the angle $\varphi$ has an effect on the sound transmission and reflection for structures with anisotropic properties. Figure 11 shows the effect of azimuthal angle on the sound transmission and reflection of the sandwich plate with filler B (anisotropic properties). This is because there are large differences in the Young’s modulus in directions of $x$ and $y$. The acoustic features, which are unique to anisotropic solids, will be investigated in future papers.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The transmission and reflection coefficients from an infinite compliant plate-like structure submerged in the fluids are investigated using an exact method. In the formulation, the coupling between the fluid and laminate is taken into account in a rigorous manner. With the formulation presented, the sound transmission and reflection by one two-layer plate and one sandwich plate are calculated. From these application examples, the following conclusions can be drawn. The reduction of reflection coefficient is sensitive to the existence of a coating layer. The compliant structure with a coating layer facing incident sound has a better reduction effect on
sound reflection coefficient than an coating layer facing non-incidence side. The increase in coating thickness will improve the reduction effect in the low-frequency range but will lose the effect in the given higher-frequency range. The thickness change of base plate has little effect on the reflection coefficient. The method presented can be widely applied to study and evaluate the acoustic properties of both isotropic and anisotropic multi-layer structures. It can be extended to carry out the dynamic response of elastic coating excited by the plane sound waves as well.
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APPENDIX: NOMENCLATURE

- \( c_{ij} \) matrix of elastic constants of current layer
- \( c_{w1} \) sound speed in lower fluid
- \( c_{w2} \) sound speed in upper fluid
- \( E_{ij} \) complex Young’s modulus
- \( G_{ij} \) complex shear modulus
- \( h_n \) thickness of \( n \)th layer
- \( i \) \( \sqrt{-1} \), unit imaginary number
- \( k_x, k_z \) wave number components in current layer in \( x \) and \( z \) directions
- \( k_{w1} \) wave number vector in lower fluid
- \( k_{zw1} \) component of \( k_{w1} \) in \( z \) direction
- \( k_{w2} \) wave number vector in upper fluid
- \( k_{xw2}, k_{zw2} \) two components of \( k_{w2} \) in \( x \) and \( z \) directions respectively
- \( N \) total number of layers
- \( p_{in} \) amplitude of incident sound wave
- \( p_{re} \) amplitude of reflected sound wave
- \( p_{tr} \) amplitude of transmitted sound wave
- \( R \) stress vector on a given plane (\( z \) = constant); \( R = \{\tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}, \sigma_{zz}\}^T \)
- \( t \) time co-ordinate
- \( u, v, w \) displacement components in \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions respectively; \( U^T = \{u, v, w\} \)
- \( v_{in} \) particle velocity of incident sound wave in \( z \) direction
- \( v_{re} \) particle velocity of reflected sound wave in \( z \) direction
- \( v_{tr} \) particle velocity of transmitted sound wave in \( z \) direction
- \( \beta_{re} \) reflection coefficient
- \( \beta_{tr} \) transmission coefficient
- \( \varepsilon \) strain tensor; \( \varepsilon^T = \{\varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy}, \varepsilon_{xz}, \gamma_{yz}, \gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{xy}\} \)
- \( \eta_e, \eta_g \) longitudinal and shear loss factors respectively
- \( \theta \) incidence angle of incident sound wave
- \( \rho \) mass density of current layer material
- \( \rho_{w1}, \rho_{w2} \) mass densities of lower and upper fluids respectively
- \( \sigma \) stress tensor, \( \sigma^T = \{\sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{yy}, \sigma_{zz}, \tau_{yz}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{xy}\} \)
- \( \phi \) azimuthal angle of incident sound wave
- \( \omega \) angular frequency of excitation